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Key to Party Politics

- Gerrymandering dates to the dawn of the republic, a time when electoral practices (like all political practices) were unstable, unsettled, ever-changing, and varied state to state. The term was coined in 1812, when Jeffersonian Republicans in Massachusetts, the party of Governor Elbridge Gerry, restructured districts to bolster their party in a state that had long leaned Federalist.
- From the beginning, the concept and reality of districts was fluid. There is nothing predetermined about congressional districts; they have been debated in size and shape from the start. In many early elections, districts, as we now define them, played no role at all. The Constitution doesn’t specify how states should select their representatives, so states were free to do as they chose. Although Congress could overrule their decisions, it offered little guidance.
- From the 1790s through the 1840s, states varied in how they did or didn’t use districts in elections. Some states used a statewide “general ticket,” where voters could pick their designated preferred candidates from a candidate list. Others used districts to elect candidates, but this required drawing district lines. Towns or counties didn’t divide automatically into equally sized groups of 30,000 residents, the prescribed number of constituents per representative. State governments were left to their own devices to create districts of roughly equal size.
- Member districts, from election to election, followed the tides of party politics; anywhere that districts were in play, gerrymandering soon followed, particularly with the rise of organized party politics. Its use intensified after the Apportionment Act of 1842 that mandated single-member districts. From that point on, gerrymandering became key to electoral politics, flourishing in times of extreme partisanship, and fueling it in the process.
- Fluid, powerful, and blatantly partisan, gerrymandering has long been condemned as corrupt, and for good reason. It stabs at the kind of
faith in free and fair elections that lies at the heart of democratic governance.

**Redistricting: A Case Study of Southern Politics**
- One-party rule and the politics of race and class
- *Baker v. Carr*
- Gerrymandering in Texas
- Gerrymandering in Georgia
- Redistricting and the minority vote

**Redistricting Reform: What It Can and Cannot Do**
- Reform will only have limited effects on polarization.
- Reform will not eliminate the influence of politics of districting.
- Reform can diminish gap between the House and public opinion.
- Reform can generate more competition which is healthy for a democracy.
- Reform can create incentives for more civic participation in elections.
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